Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,029	STENZEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard M. Rump	1793
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Richard M. Rump</u> .	(3)	
(2) Harris Pitlick.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 July 2010	Time: <u>10:45 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 5-20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Amendment to claim 10 to make it dependant upon claim 5 was entertained. Request was given to rejoin claims 5-20.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Richard M Rump/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)